

EFFECT OF CEMENT DUST ON THE FOLIAR GROWTH, FLORAL MORPHOLOGY, CROCIN CONTENT AND YIELD OF SAFFRON(CROCUS SATIVUS L.)

FAROOQ A. LONE^{*}

Division of Environmental Sciences, S.K. University of Agricultural Sciences and

Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar-191 121 (India)

Corms of saffron were sown in selected plots in Sep.2002 and were treated with cement dust at the rate of 1 and 2 g m⁻²/day for a period of 7 months (Sep.-March) for two consecutive growth years. The treated plants showed a consistent reduction in the photosynthetic pigments, phytomass as well as length of leaves .The results also showed that except biomass of the stigma, pistil and whole flower, no significant variation was observed in floral morphological characteristics after one year of growth . However, in the second year significantly (p<0.01) greater reduction was observed in the size of perianth, length and biomass of the pistil and stigma (saffron of commerce) and the whole flower. The yield of saffron (kg ha-) also suffered a greater loss in the second year (ranging 12.92-19.66 %) compared to the first year (ranging 10.71-16.66 %), and the losses were related to the amount of dust treatment. Crocin content of the stigma did not exhibit any change due to cement dust pollution.

Key words: Cement dust, saffron, chlorophyll, crocin content.

Cement producing factories release an enormous amount of cement dust in to the atmosphere causing a variety of damage to plants.Cement dust after escaping in to the atmosphere settles on the soil and plant surface and due to its hygroscopic nature forms a hard crust on the surface .Reduction in the photosynthetic pigments , biomass , growth and yield of plants due to the cement dust pollution has been reported by many workers (Czaja, 1961; Lerman, 1972; Shukla et al., 1990; Mandre, et al., 1992, Pandey et al., 1996 Mandre & Tuulmets, 1997; Mandre and Korsjukov, 2000; Ots,2000., Cesar & Lepedus 2001, Deepali & Khan, 2002). The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different concentrations of cement dust on the growth performance and yield of saffron (Crocus sativus

Linn.). Saffron is an important cash crop of Kashmir and in the valley it is mainly grown in the karewas of Pampore (district Pulwama) where five cement factories are operational in the areas adjoining saffron fields. These factories release an enormous amount of cement dust in the atmosphere and according to an unpublished report, the dust fall measured at a distance of 1 and 2 Km from the Khrew cement factory (district Pulwama) has been found to be 2.08 and 1.19 g m²/day respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

. Mature corms of saffron were sown 20 cm apart in rows spaced 25 cm in 1.5 x 3 m⁻² plots in September, 2002 in the selected plots (T_1 and T_2) at Konibal saffron sub station of SKUAST-K near Pampore in Randomized Block Design. Three plots were maintained for each treatment and for the control. Cement dust was obtained from Khrew cement factory (JK Cements) which contains Lime stone = 78%, Clay=22.5%, Gypsum =5% and Iron ore =1.5%.The cement dust was sprayed on the selected plots T_1 and T_2 in the morning hours by using cardboard chambers (size $1.5 \times 1 \text{ m}^{-2}$) at the rate of 1 and 2 g m⁻²/ day (5-6 days /week)for a period of seven months for two consecutive growth years (Sep.2002 - March 2003 and Sep. 2003- March 2004). It may be mentioned that in Kashmir valley, saffron corms are sown in Aug. - Sept. and flowering takes place in Oct. - Nov. of the same season. The flowering also occurs before the emergence of leaves and its foliage remains green till April.

Fifty foliar samples from each replicating plot were randomly collected at 30, 90 and 150 day intervals from the onset of vegetative growth for two

E mail : rfarooqlone@yahoo.co.in / dr_farooqlone@rediffmail.com Communicated by : R.S. Tripathi

consecutive growth years and analyzed for various parameters viz., length, biomass and chlorophyll pigment content. The biomass of the foliage was determined after drying them at 80 °C for 24 h. Chlorophyll pigments were estimated by following the method of Hiscox & Israelstam (1979) after gently cleaning the leaves with moist cotton. For studying various froristic parameters viz. length of flowers, size of perianth and pistil, about 100 flowers were randomly picked from each replicating plots during the first as well as in the second years flower harvest. The dry weight of the flowers was estimated after drying them for a few days in solar driers.

For soil analysis the samples were randomly collected at the end of the first and second year of growth from all the replicating plots (depth 20 cm) and analysed for various parameters .The pH and electrical conductivity were determined by the method given in Piper (1966). Available N, P and K were by Micro – Kjaldahl's method estimated (Jackson, 1973) and exchangeable Ca and Mg were estimated by Atomic Absorption Spectophotometer (GEC,902 Australia). The crocin content of stigma (saffron of commerce) was determined at Regional Research Laboratory, Canal Road, Jammu. The mean values of the different parameters obtained for the control and polluted samples were compared using the t-test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cement dust covering the vegetative organs of the plants forms a crust when it becomes moist due to dew, fog or light rain. This phenomenon creates changes in the light, temperature and water regimes of the plant tissues (Borka,1980). In the present study the most significant differences could be observed in chl-a ,while chl-b was less affected (Table- 1).

During the first year of growth the highest reduction of ca. 47% and 67% was recorded in the chlorophyll –a content of the foliage of 150 day old plants of the T_1 and T_2 plots respectively compared to the other ages. Chlorophyll-b also recorded severe losses due to dust pollution (ca. 22% in T_1 and ca. 44% in T_2) and the loss was maximum in the young

foliage (30 days). In the second year the reduction in the photosynthetic pigments followed similiar trends but the losses were slightly more compared to the first year . Several authors in the past have also recorded losses in the content of chlorophyll pigments due to cement dust pollution (Shukla et al., 1990; Mandre et al. 1992., Misra et al., 1993, Mandre & Tuulmets ,1997; Cesar & Lepedus,2001). This decrease in the chlorophyll pigments might have been caused by the highly alkaline nature of cement dust which might have degraded the chlorophyll molecules and /or by shading (Pajenkamp, 1961; Borka, 1980). Lerman (1972) suggested that continuous application of cement clogs the stomata thus interfering with the gaseous exchange. This may lead to increased leaf temperatures which may retard chlorophyll synthesis (Mark, 1963; Singh & Rao, 1981).Mandre & Tuulmets (1997) have also opined that decrease in light availability caused due to dust pollution may lead to decreased elemental uptake and hold the view that the inhibition of the absorption of the active parts of the solar radiation spectrum participating in photosynthetic process might have directly contributed to the low concentration of chlorophyll in Norway spruce needles under the influence of the high level of dust pollution. The data also indicated the decrease in chl a/ b ratio . The sensivity of chl-a /chl-b ratio to dust has been also observed in Norway spruce by Mandre & Tuulmets (1997) who have attributed it to the effect of several mediate external and internal factors. In addition to chlorophylls, carotenoids have also been regarded as potential bioindicators of air pollution. This study has also shown that the carotenoid content was significantly less in the treated samples and the losses were recorded lesser in the young foliage than in the old foliage. Further, T₂ plots experienced higher losses compared to T₁ plots. These reductions may be attributed to the adverse impacts on the biosynthetic processes under the influence of alkaline dust.

The length of the foliage of the plants is determined by genetic constitution and also by the edaphic and weather conditions, especially temperature and water regimes, but it also depends upon the dust load, the duration of its effect and the Table 1. Effect of cement dust treatment on the photosynthetic pigments of saffron leaves.

| Parameters | Treat- ment | Age of foliage in days | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | 30 | | 90 | | 150 | | |
| _ | | lst year | ll year | Ist year | II year | Ist year | II year | |
| Chlorophyll-a | T ₁ | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.35 (44,44)* | 0.34 (46.09)" | 0.37 (47.17)* | 0.36 (48.59) | |
| (mg/g/near | T: | 0.18 (64.00)* | 0.17 (66.00) | 0.22 (65.07)* | 0.21 (67.18)* | 0.23 (67.14)* | 0.22 (68.30) | |
| | С | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.71 | |
| Chlorophyll – b (mg/g/fresh wt.) | T | 0.07 | 0.07 (26.31) [*] | 0.12 (20.00)* | 0.12 (21.87) [*] | 0.17 (19.04)* | 0.17 (20.45) | |
| | T ₂ | 0.05 (44.44)* | 0.05 (47.36) | 0.10 (33.37)* | 0.09 (36.66) | 0.16 (23.80)* | 0.16 (25.00) | |
| | С | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.22 | |
| Total chlorophyll | T | 0.37 (37.28)* | 0.36 (38.65) | 0.47 (39.74)* | 0.47 (40.50) | 0.54 (40.65)* | 0.54 (41.93) | |
| (mg/g fresh wt.) | T ₂ | 0.23 (61.01)* | 0.22 (63.02)* | 0.32 (58.97)* | 0.30 (61.39) [*] | 0.39 (57.14)* | 0.38 (58.06) | |
| | С | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.93 | |
| ThI a/ chI h ratio | Tı | 4.28 (22.88)* | 4.21 (19.96) | 2.91 (30.71)* | 2.76 (35.21) | 2.17 (34.83)* | 2.08 (35.40) | |
| | T ₂ | 3.60 (35.13)* | 3.40 (35.36) | 2.20 (47.61)* | 2.21 (48.12)* | 1.35 (59.45)* | 1.36 (57.76) [*] | |
| | C | 5.55 | 5.26 | 4.20 | 4.26 | 3.33 | 3.22 | |
| Carotenoids | Ti | 0.05 (37.50)* | 0.05 | 0.08 (38.46)* | 0.08 (41.42) [*] | 0.11 (42.10)* | 0.10 (47.36) | |
| | T ₂ | 0.04 (50.00)* | 0.03 (54.11) | 0.06 (53.84)* | 0.06 (55.00) | 0.08 (57.89)* | 0.79 (58.42) | |
| | С | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.13 | -0.14 | 0.19 | 0.19 | |

Values are means of 6 replicates

T = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 2 g m day C = Control

Figures in parentheses represent percentage reduction over control

Significant at p<0.01 level

tolerance of the plants .Cement dust may cause essential negative changes and inhibit the growth of plants, reduce the dimension of their leaves and decrease their biomass. (Shukla et al ., 1990; Mandre & Ots, 1999). The phytomass and length of the foliage of the saffron treated with the cement dust was significantly lower than those of the control plants and the per cent decrease was recorded more in 150 days old foliage as well as in the T, plots experiencing more dust load. Further the losses were recorded more in the second year of growth compared to the first year (Table 2). Such reductions may be due to reduced photosynthesis, through a combination of factors such as reduced interference with the gaseous exchange of foliage due to clogging of stomata, interception in the incident light due to cement encrustation on the leaf surface, pigment degradation and intra or inter-cellular changes in the leaves (Shukla et al., 1990).

It is also clear from the data (Table 3) that except the biomass of the stigma, pistil and flower no significant variation was observed in various floral

Table 2. Effect of cement dust treatment on the length and biomass of saffron foliage

| Parameter | Growth | Treament | Plant age in days | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | year | | 30 | 90 | 150 | | |
| Length of | I | T | 6.8 (2.85)** | 13.15 (8.99) | 16.12 (12.15) | | |
| leaves(cm) | | T ₂ | 6.50(7.14) | 0(7.14) 13.10(9.40) 1 | | | |
| | | C | 7.00 | 14.46 | 18.35 | | |
| | 11 | T | 6.77(3.22)** | 13.59 (9.15) | 16.07 (15.75) | | |
| | | T ₂ | 6.48 (7.42) | 13.00 (13.10) | 15.56 (18.14) | | |
| | | С | 7.00 | 14.96 | 19.01 | | |
| Fresh weight of | 1 | T | 63.00(10.00) | 98.00(3.57) | 140.00 (15.15) | | |
| leaves (mg) | | T ₂ | 59.00(15.71) | 94.00(16.27)* | 120.00(37.5) | | |
| | | C | 70.00 | 112.00 | 165.00 | | |
| | 11 | T | 63.8(16.00) | 95.00(17.39) | 132.00(21.89) | | |
| | | T ₂ | 66.00(18.00) | 93.00(19.13) | 104.00(38.46) | | |
| | | С | 76.00 | 115.00 | 169.00 | | |
| Dry weight of | 1 | T | 16.00(11.11) | 2i.50(17.30) | 28.00(24.32) | | |
| leaves (mg) | | T_2 | 15.50(13.88) | 22.00(15.38) | 29.00(21.16) | | |
| | | С | 18.00 | 26.00 | 37.00 | | |
| | 11 | T_1 | 16.00(6.08) | 23.00(14.81) | 29.00(21.62) | | |
| | | T2 | 15.00(19.18)* | 21.50(20.00) | 26.00(29.72) | | |
| | | С | 18.56 | 27.00 | 37.50 | | |

Values are means of 50 replicates

 T_1 = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 1 g m² day

T2= Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 2 g m day

C = Control

Figures in parentheses represent percentage reduction over control

** Significant at p<0.01 and p<0.05 level respectively

Table 3. Effect of cement dust on various floral characteristics, crocin content and the yield of saffron.

| S. | Parameters | First year | | | Second year | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--|
| No. | | T ₁ | T ₂ | С | T ₁ | T ₂ | С | |
| 1. | Length of whole flower (cm) | 7.81 (0.00) | 7.75 (0.76) ^{NS} | 7.81 | 7.97 (10.75)* | 6.92 (11.39)* | 7.81 | |
| 2. | Length of perianth (cm) | 4.13 (0.48) ^{NS} | 4.10 (1.20) ^{8.8} | 4.15 | 3.95 (4.81) | 3.13 (24.57) | 4.15 | |
| 3. | Width of perianth (cm) | 1.78 (0.0) | 1.78 (0.00) | 1.78 | 1.61 (9.55)* | 1.28 (28.00)* | 1.78 | |
| 4. | Length of stigma (mm) | 31.60 (0.0) | 31.60 0.00) | 31.60 (0.0) | 27.48 (13.03) ¹ | 23.48 (25.69)* | 31.60 | |
| 5. | Length of style (mm) | 39.82 (0.0) | 39.82 (0.00) | 39.82 (0.0) | 34.30 (13.86)° | 29.88 (24.96) [°] | 39.82 | |
| 6. | Total length of pistil (stigma and style) (mm) | 71.42 (0.0) | 71.42 (0.00) | 71.42 | 61.78 (13.49)* | 53.36 (25.28)* | 71.42 | |
| 7. | Fresh weight of whole flower (g) (10 flower basis) | 4.98 (4.14) [*] | 4.18 (19.76) [*] | 5.21 | 4.18 (19.76)* | 3.74 (28.21)* | 5.21 | |
| 8. | Dry weight of whole flower (g) (10 flower basis) | 0.87 (3.48) [*] | 0.86 (6.97) | 0.87 | 0.77 (13.95)* | 0.72 (24.41)* | 0.87 | |
| 9. | Fresh weight of stigma (g) 10 flower basis | 0.33 (2.94)** | 0.32 (5.88) [*] | 0.34 | 0.30 (11.76)* | 0.25 (26.47)* | 0.34 | |
| 10. | Dry weight of stigma (g) 10 flower basis | 0.085 (2.29) ^{**} | 0.086 (1.14)** | 0.087 | 0.077 (11.49)* | 0.072 (17.24)* | 0.087 | |
| 11. | Fresh weight of pistil (g) 10 flower basis | 0.47 (2.08)** | 0.45 (6.25) [*] | 0.48 | 0.39 (18.75)* | 0.31 (35.41)* | 0.48 | |
| 12. | Dry weight of pistil (g) 10 flower basis | 0.096 (3.03)* | 0.091 (8.08) [*] | 0.099 | 0.091 (8.08)* | 0.087 (12.12)* | 0.099 | |
| 13 | Crocin content of stigma (%) | 9.79 (0.0) | 9.79 (0.0) | 9.79 | 9.64 (1.53) ^{NS} | 9.50 (2.96) ^{NS} | 9.79 | |
| 14. | Yield of saffron (kg ha ⁻¹) | 1.50 (10.71)" | 1.40 (16.66)* | 1.68 | 1.55 (12.92)* | 1.43 (19.66)* | 1.77 | |

Values are means of 100 replicates (for S.No.1-12) and 3 replicates (for S.No.13 &14) Figures in parentheses represent percentage reduction over control

 T_{i} = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 1 g m 2 day T_2 = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 2 g m 2 day 1

C = Control .; *,** Significant at p<0.01 and p<0.05 level respectively.

T1= Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 1 g m2 day

characteristics of the saffron plants $(T_1 \text{ and } T_2)$ harvested in the first year. However, in the second year losses were significantly higher in all parameters The commercial part of the flower i.e., stigma suffered a significant (p< 0.01) loss of 13.03, 25.69; 11.76 and 26.47; 11.49 and 17.24 % in its length, fresh weight and dry weight in the T_1 and T_2 plots respectively. Reductions were also observed in fresh and dry weight of the flowers and the losses were more in the T₂ plants that were exposed to higher dust pollution compared to the T, plots. Cement dust also significantly (p<0.01) reduced the yield of saffron (kg ha⁻¹) in both the years, and the per cent loss was higher in the second year's harvest (ranging from 12.9 to 19.7 %), than first year harvest (ranging from 10.7 -16.7 %) in T₁ and T₂ plots respectively. On the other hand crocin content of the stigma did not show any significant variation in the flower harvest of both the seasons. The reduction in the values of various parameters viz., length, biomass and its yield in the second year might be due to the less food accumulated in the corms (due to the losses in chlorophyll content) and subsequently, lesser production of daughter cormels. It might have affected the growth and development of floral primordia as the corms experienced particulate pollution stress for a longer period compared to corms planted in the Ist year. Less production of flowers, pods and seeds due to cement dust pollution has also been reported by Shukla *et al.* (1990) and Zargar *et al.* (1999) in *Brassica campestris* and *Brassica oleracea*, respectively.

The values for soil pH, conductivity as well as exchangeable Ca and Mg in the case of the treated plots was higher compared to the control (Table 4) . The increase in soil pH in the polluted soil might have been caused by the hydroxides of Ca and Al formed during hydration (Stratman &Vant Haut, 1956; Pajenkamp, 1961; Czaja, 1966) which may impair the various metabolic processes in the plants indirectly. Also changes in soil pH due to cement dust in the polluted plots might have affected the growth of the foliage (Schonbeck, 1960; Scheffer *et al.*, 1961;

| No | Parameter | First year | r | | Second Year | | | |
|----|--|------------|----------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------|--|
| | | Tt | T_2 | С | \mathbf{T}_{1} | T_2 | С | |
| 1. | рН | 8.05 | 8.25 | 7.94 | 8.10 | 8.36 | 7.94 | |
| | | (1.38) | (3.90)* | | (2.01)** | (5.28)* | | |
| 2. | $Ec (d Sm^{-1})$ | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.15 | |
| | 1 | (13.33)* | (40.00)* | | (26.66)* | (66.66)* | | |
| 3. | Exch. Ca Cmol (P^+) Kg ⁻¹ | 16.00 | 18.00 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 26.00 | 15.00 | |
| | | (6.66) | (20.00)* | | (33.27)* | (73.33)* | | |
| 4. | Exch.Mg C mol (P ⁺) Kg ⁻¹ | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 1.90 | 1.50 | |
| | | (13.33) | (20.00) | | (16.66)* | (26.66)* | | |
| 5. | Available N Kg ha ⁻¹ | 319.80 | 287.00 | 439.04 | 313.60 | 282.24 | 439.04 | |
| | | (27.15) | (34.52)* | | (28.57) | (35.69)* | | |
| 6. | Available P Kg ha ⁻¹ | 14.65 | 10.90 | 18.58 | 14.52 | 10.80 | 18.58 | |
| | | (21.15) | (41.33)* | | $(21.85)^{*}$ | (41.87)* | | |
| 7. | Available K Kg ha ⁻¹ | 266.00 | 260.00 | 296.00 | 264.00 | 261.00 | 296.00 | |
| | | (10.13)* | (12.16)* | | $(10.81)^{*}$ | $(11.82)^{*}$ | | |

Table 4: Soil characteristics of the experimental plots at the end of first and second year of growth.

Values are means of 3 replicates

 T_1 = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 1 g m⁻² day⁻¹

- T_2 = Plots treated with cement dust at the rate of 2 g m⁻² day⁻¹
- C = Control

Figures in parentheses represent percentage reduction over control

* and ** Significant at p<0.01 and p<0.05 level respectively.

Czaja, 1962) as has also been observed in the present study. On the other hand, exchangeable NPK was reduced. In general the alkalization of soil might complicate the mineral nutrition process of the plants and unbalance the mineral composition of the foliage (Mendre *et al.*, 1992) and subsequently, may be responsible for the decrease of pigment concentration and might affect the various biochemical processes in the plants .

Thus the present study shows that cement dust adversely affects the growth and yield of saffron. Further, the losses are more in the second year's harvest as well as in the plots that had been exposed to higher amount of dust . The properties of the soil also changed to an undesirable extent due to cement dust pollution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to the Head Division of Environmental Sciences for providing laboratory facilities and to the Head Division of Agronomy, SKUAST-K, Shalimar for providing literature related to saffron agronomy in Kashmir.

REFERENCES

Borka G 1980. The effect of cement dust pollution on the growth and metabolism of *Helianthus annus*. Environ. Pollut Ser A **22** 75-92.

Cesar V & Lepedus H 2001 Peroxidase activity, soluble proteins and chlorophyll content in spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst.) needles affected by cement dust. Acta Botanica Croatica **60** (2) 227-235.

Czaja A T 1961 Die Wirkung von Verstaubtem Kalk und Zement auf Pflanzen. Qual. Plant Mater. Veg 8 184-212.

Czaja A T 1962 Uber das Problem der Zementstaubwirkungen and pflanzen. Staub. **22** 228-32.

Czaja A T 1966 The effect of dust, especially cement dust upon plants. Angew Bot. **40** 106-120.

Deepali R & Khan A A 2002 Impact of industrial particulate pollutants applied to soil on the growth and yield of tomato. Thai J. of Agric. Sci **35** (2) 187-194.

Hiscox J D & Israelstam G F 1979 A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. Can. J. Bot 57 1332-1334.

Jackson M. 1973 Soil Chemical Analysis .Prentice Hall .Inc. London, U.K.

Lerman S 1972 Cement – kiln dust and the bean plant (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Black Valentine var.): indepth investigations into plant morphology, physiology and pathology. Ph.D. Dissertation. Univ. of California, Riverside.

Mandre M, Annuka E & Tuulmets L 1992 Response reaction of conifers to alkaline dust pollution : changes in the pigment system. Eesti-Teaduste Alladeemia- Toimetised Okloogia **2** (4) 1956

Mandre M & Ots K 1999 Growth and biomass partitioning of 6 year old spruces under alkaline dust impact. Water , Air and Soil Pollut **114** 13-25

Mandre M & Korsjukov R 2000. Contents of pigments in the needles of Norway spruce and scots pine in an area of cement production. Metsandusliked-Uurimused **33** 121-128.

Mandre M & Tuulmets L 1997 Pigment changes in Norway spruce induced by dust pollution. Water, Air and Soil Pollut 94 247-258.

Mark I. T 1963 Temperature inhibition of carotene synthesis in tomato. Bot. Gaz. **124** 180-185.

Misra J, Pandey V, Singh S N, Singh N, Yunus M & Ahmad K J 1993 Growth responses of *Lycopersicon esculentum* to cement dust treatment. J. Environ. Sci. and Health. A **280** 1771-1780.

Ots K 2000 Morphometric parameters of conifer needles and shoots in the areas near the Kunda cement plant. Metsanduslikud- Uurimused **33** 158-176.

Pajenkamp H 1961 Einwirkung des Zementofenstaubes auf pflanze und tiere. Zem- Kalk-Gips. 14 88-95.

Pandey D D, Sanjeev K & Kumar S 1996 Impact of cement dust pollution on biomass, chlorophyll, nutrients and grain characteristics of wheat. Environ. and Ecol **14** (4) 872-875.

Effect of Cement Dust on the Foliar Growth, Floral Morphology, Crocin Content and Yield of Saffron (Crocus sativus L.)

3.8

Piper C S 1966 Soil and Plant Analysis. Inter.Sci.Publ. Inc. New York.

Scheffer F, Przemeck E & Wilms W 1961 Untersuchungen uber den Einfluss Von Zementofen-Flugsstaub auf Boden und Pflange. Staub. **21** 251-254.

Schonbeck H 1960 Beobachtungen zur Frage des Einflusses von industriellen Immissionen anf die Krankbereitschaft der pflanze-Ber. Landesanstalt Bodennutzungsschutz (Boehum). 1 89-98.

Shukla J V, Pandey S N, Singh M, Yunus M, Singh N & Ahmad K J 1990 Effect of cement dust on growth

and yield of *Brassica campestris* L. Environ. Pollut **66** 81-88.

Singh S N & Rao D N 1981 Certain responses of wheat plants to cement dust pollution. Environ. Pollut Ser A. **24** 75-81.

Stratmann H & Van Haut H 1956 Vegetation versuche-mit Zement flugsstaub (Unpublished investigations of the Kohlen stoff-Biologischen Forschungs station). Essen Germany.

Zargar A H, Zargar M Y, Dar G H & Ganai B A 1999 Impact of cement dust on growth and biomass yield of Haak (Brassica oleracea). Appl. Biol. Res. **1** 179-181.